<u>REMARKS</u>

In the Non-Final Office Action mailed June 20, 2006, the Examiner considered claims 1-30. Claims 1-30 stand rejected. For the reasons set forth below, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner reconsider the rejections and allow all the pending claims.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 1 - 30 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The Office Action states claims 1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 19, 20, 21, 26 and 30 recite the limitation of encrypting/decrypting data. "However, it is unclear to the examiner what the "data" being encrypted is."

The specification makes clear the claims. "[T]he unencrypted data may include files, e-mail, bookmarks, calendar information or other type of data." Specification, page 8, lines 24-25. The "encrypted data" and the "decrypted data" refers to data that is being exchanged in a computer network. Specification, page 2, lines 7-9.

CONCLUSION

On the basis of the above remarks, reconsideration and allowance of all the pending claims is believed to be warranted and such action is respectfully requested. If the Examiner has questions or comments, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned at the number listed below. The Office is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-1847.

Dated: December 20, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Pamela S. Merkadeau Registration No. 53,318

MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS LLP 1001 Page Mill Road, Building 2 Palo Alto, California 94304

650-812-1327 Telephone 650-213-0286 Facsimile

20160894.1